

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR 10/604,946 08/28/2003 Bert-Inge BERTILSSON 07589.0108.NPUS00 1945 7590 28694 **EXAMINER** 09/21/2004 TRACY W. DRUCE, ESQ. WOLFE JR, WILLIS RAY 1496 EVANS FARM DR ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER MCLEAN, VA 22101 3747

DATE MAILED: 09/21/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary	10/604,946	BERTILSSON ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Willis R. Wolfe, Jr.	3747	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b):			
Status	•	•	
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	•		
	— s action is non-final.	×	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims			
 4) Claim(s) 1-26 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1,11 and 22 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 2-10, 12-21 and 23-26 is/are objected. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or 	awn from consideration.	X	
Application Papers	٠.		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er ⁻		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			•
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documen 2. Certified copies of the priority documen 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicati brity documents have been receive tu (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National S	Stage
Attachment(s)		VPC	-
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	•	152)

Application/Control Number: 10/604,946

Art Unit: 3747

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1, 11 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Ando, Suzuki or Casey. Note Figure 1 of Ando showing exhaust restriction (12) for

(A)

Application/Control Number: 10/604,946

Art Unit: 3747

deflecting exhaust pulses into the EGR passage (4). Note Figure 1 of Suzuki showing exhaust restriction (14) for deflecting exhaust pulses into the EGR passage (15). Note Figure 2 of Casey showing exhaust restriction (22) for deflecting exhaust pulses into the EGR passage (27).

Claims 1, 11 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Shigahara et al. Note Figure 1 showing exhaust restriction (15) for deflecting exhaust pulses into the EGR passage (23).

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 2-10, 12-21 and 23-26 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The references of McKinley et al, Henderson et al and Brackney et al are cited to show exhaust gas restrictors for aiding in the recirculation of exhaust gas back to the intake system of the engine.

Art Unit: 3747

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Willis R. Wolfe, Jr. whose telephone number is (703) 308-1950. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday, Wednesday and Friday (4:30 AM-3:00 PM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Henry C. Yuen can be reached on (703) 308-1946. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Willis R. Wolfe, Jr.
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3747

WRW September 17, 2004